arXiv:1704.03129v1 [math.DG] 11 Apr 2017

A NOTE ON POLAR REPRESENTATIONS

FRANCISCO J. GOZZI

Linear representations of compact Lie groups are the fundamental models for proper Lie group actions on manifolds. In particular, as slice representations, they determine the local structure of the orbit space. Orbits with minimal stabilizers and, hence, trivial slices correspond to manifold points and, more generally, those with polar slice representations correspond to orbifold points, as shown by A. Lytchak and G. Thorbergsson in [LT10]. Therefore, we should think of polar representations as the simplest from the orbit space metric point of view.

Already a classical matter, the geometry of polar representations is well understood, they are orbit-equivalent to symmetric space isotropy representations after the work of J. Dadok [Dad85]. Moreover, the linear classification in the irreducible case was completed by J. Eschenburg and E. Heintze in [EH99], where the exceptions to symmetric space representations are listed explicitly.

A polar representation of a compact Lie group is defined as an orthogonal representation admitting a *section*, i.e., a linear subspace which intersects every orbit and does so orthogonally. Analogously, a complete Riemannian G-manifold together with an immersed complete submanifold orthogonally intersecting every orbit is said to be polar. A most important tool in this subject is given by an equivariant reconstruction theorem developed by K. Grove and W. Ziller in [GZ12], which generalizes the classic group diagram for cohomogeneity one manifolds. This equivariant reconstruction technique requires that the linear case is solved first, identifying polar representations directly from certain given data. As observed in [GZ12], in order to identify an irreducible polar representation it is enough to know the group G and a principal isotropy subgroup H. However, the analogous claim turns out to be false in the case of reducible representations. For a counterexample we may compare the $\mathrm{SU}(n)\times\mathrm{U}(1)$ representations given by the cover of the standard $\mathrm{U}(n)$ action on $\mathbb{C}^n$ times the circle action on $\mathbb{C}$ versus a factor-wise product action on $\mathbb{C}^n\oplus\mathbb{C}$, which are not linearly isomorphic yet have coincident group and principal isotropy.

The linear recognition for general polar representations can be carried out if one knows the whole *history*, i.e., the collection of isotropy subgroups that occur along a chamber, as opposed to knowing only the group G and the principal isotropy H. We prove the following.

Theorem. *A polar representation of a compact connected Lie group* G *is determined by its history and dimension.*

In general one needs to consider disconnected compact Lie groups with Coxeter polar representations. We say that a polar action is *Coxeter* if its quotient orbifold is of Coxeter type. This definition is slightly more general than the original one but

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58D19, 57S15, 22E46.
The author has been supported by the FAPESP fellowship 2014/22568-1.

works as nicely and now includes finite Coxeter group representations. Connected Lie group polar representations are naturally Coxeter polar. Furthermore, the disconnected case reduces to the connected one, as shown in [GZ12, Lemma 2.4], so that we have the analogous result: a Coxeter polar representation of a compact Lie group G is determined by its history and dimension. This is the linear recognition tool required to emend the previous Lemma which, as expected, leaves the global picture unchanged since the invariants are already available as part of the *Coxeter polar data* required in the aforementioned equivariant reconstruction.

Our exposition follows that of [GZ12], which also provides a broad introduction to the subject. The reader may also refer to [PT87] or [BCO16] for an account on properties of polar representations and classical geometrical applications.

Proof of the Theorem: Let $\rho : \mathrm{G} \to (V)$ be a polar representation of a compact connected Lie group with section $\Sigma \subset V$. It follows from the orbit equivalence of ρ to a symmetric space representation that the effective normalizer of the section is a group W generated by reflections. We may then consider the complement in Σ to the corresponding reflecting hyperplanes, choose a connected component and take its closure, thus obtaining a strict fundamental domain of the action. This domain is denoted by C and referred to as a *chamber*.

Restricting the isotropy group stratification of the section to a chamber defines the history of the representation. In this way, the projection to the orbit space

$$C \xrightarrow{\sim} M/\,\mathrm{G},$$

establishes a bijective correspondence between each group in the history and the connected orbit type strata of the action. One may object that sections are not unique, as nor are chambers with respect to a fixed section. Hence, the history is only determined up to a global conjugation. We may choose a particular history since *a posteriori* the uniquely determined polar representation will display this choice and all its conjugates.

The isotropy groups in the history $\{\mathrm{K}_I\}_I$ together with the given inclusion relations form a finite lattice, where the group G is the maximum and the principal isotropy group H along the section is the minimum. A crucial fact to our proof is that the G-isotropy groups along C are also in $1-1$ correspondence with the stratification by W-isotropy groups. In particular, next to minimal groups $\mathrm{H} \subset \mathrm{K}_j \subset \mathrm{G}$ correspond to the reflections r_j that generate W. Moreover each element r_j can be identified as the unique involution in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{K}_j}(\mathrm{H})/\,\mathrm{H}$. In consequence, we can determine the Weyl group W directly from the history, as a subgroup of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})/\,\mathrm{H}$. Since W is a finite reflection group, it can be decomposed uniquely as a product of irreducible factors,

$$\mathrm{W} = \mathrm{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathrm{W}_l\,.$$

This shows that the representation has irreducible invariant subspaces V_i, $i \geq 1$, where the restricted action is non-trivial, plus a fixed subspace V_0, such that the original representation space amounts to $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_l$. The section and the action of W factor likewise as a direct sum

$$\Sigma = V_0 \oplus \Sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Sigma_l.$$

In particular, Σ_i is point-wise fixed by the action of

$$\mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_i} := (\mathrm{W}_1 \times \cdots \times \hat{\mathrm{W}}_i \times \cdots \mathrm{W}_l) \subset \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})/\,\mathrm{H}\,.$$

It follows that the G-isotropy group of a generic regular point $p_i \in \Sigma_i$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{G}_{p_i} \supseteq \mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_i} \cdot \mathrm{H}\,. \tag{0.1}$$

In fact, G_{p_i} is the unique minimal group in the history lattice satisfying the above inclusion, thanks to the correspondence between the history and the W-isotropies (once p_i can be chosen to lie in C). Furthermore, the possibly ineffective action of G on V_i, admitting principal isotropy group $\mathrm{G}_{p_i} = \mathrm{K}_{I_i}$, can be made effective by taking the quotient by the maximal group in K_{I_i} normal under G. Finally this effective subrepresentation is identified as one of the irreducible polar representations listed in [EH99].

We have thus determined our original representation as the product of each summand's effectivization followed by the corresponding irreducible subrepresentation,

$$\mathrm{G} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathrm{G}\,/\,\mathrm{K}_{I_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{G}\,/\,\mathrm{K}_{I_l} \xrightarrow{\oplus_i \rho_i} \mathrm{SO}(V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_l).$$

The dimension of V is only required to determine the fixed subspace V_0. □

We observe that the same proof works if we are given all the G-isotropy subgroups along a section instead of precisely a chamber.

Remark. From a simplified point of view one can readily recover the equivariant homeomorphism type of a Coxeter polar G-manifold with data $(C, \{\mathrm{G}_c\}_c)$ as a quotient $\mathrm{G} \times C/\sim$ where we set $(g, c) \sim (g', c') \iff$ c=c' $\wedge$ $g^{-1}g' \in \mathrm{G}_c$ (cf. [HH10]). A weaker form of our Theorem then follows; we have that the equivariant homeomorphism type of a representation is determined by its chamber marked by the isotropy subgroups of its history. Moreover, for a compact connected Lie group representation topological equivalence implies linear equivalence, a fact for which we refer to the work of [LW75] on equivariant topology. This fits likewise into the general reconstruction procedure of [GZ12] and motivates the question of whether equivariant homeomorphism can be improved to diffeomorphism in the non-linear setting. In this regard, it was shown in [Dav11, Thm.6.7] that there are locally standard T^n-manifolds over Coxeter orbifolds which are equivariantly homeomorphic but not equivariantly diffeomorphic. In the case of an abelian group isotropy types correspond to isotropy subgroups without ambiguity. In particular, we observe that the previous examples are associated to compatible Coxeter polar data and, hence, each one carries a unique smooth structure as (Coxeter) polar G-manifold.

The issue of the current paper arouse during the author's PhD studies at IMPA, thanks go to his former advisors Luis A. Florit and W. Ziller.

References

[BCO16] Jürgen Berndt, Sergio Console, and Carlos Enrique Olmos. *Submanifolds and holonomy.* Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, second edition, 2016.

[Dad85] Jiri Dadok. Polar coordinates induced by actions of compact Lie groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 288(1):125–137, 1985.

[Dav11] Michael W. Davis. Lectures on orbifolds and reflection groups. In *Transformation groups and moduli spaces of curves*, volume 16 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[EH99] J.-H. Eschenburg and E. Heintze. On the classification of polar representations. *Math. Z.*, 232(3):391–398, 1999.

[GZ12] Karsten Grove and Wolfgang Ziller. Polar manifolds and actions. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 11(2):279–313, 2012.

[HH10] Ian Hambleton and Jean-Claude Hausmann. Equivariant bundles and isotropy representations. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 4(1):127–162, 2010.

[LT10] Alexander Lytchak and Gudlaugur Thorbergsson. Curvature explosion in quotients and applications. *J. Differential Geom.*, 85(1):117–139, 2010.

[LW75] Chung Nim Lee and Arthur G. Wasserman. On the groups $JO(G)$. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(issue 1, 159):ii+62, 1975.

[PT87] Richard S. Palais and Chuu-Lian Terng. A general theory of canonical forms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 300(2):771–789, 1987.

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010, São Paulo, SP 05508-090, Brazil

E-mail address: fj.gozzi@gmail.com